River Restoration in the Southeast



Barbara A. Doll, Associate Extension Professor, PhD, PE

North Carolina Sea Grant and Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, NCSU



NC STATE UNIVERSITY

Mitigation is the Primary Driver







Mitigation Program Coordinator

KY	Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (KDFWR) & Northern Kentucky University
TN	Tennessee Stream Mitigation Program (TSMP) established under the Tennessee Wildlife Resources Foundation, a 501(c)(3) non-profit
VA	Virginia Department of Environmental Quality; Virginia Aquatic Resource Trust Fund (ILF)
NC	NC Division of Mitigation Services (NC DEQ)
SC	South Carolina Department of Natural Resources (SCDNR)
GA	Georgia Land Trust (ILF)
MS	IRT - Mobile District Corps of Engineers Regulatory Division
AL	IRT - Mobile District Corps of Engineers Regulatory Division
FL	Florida Department of Environmental Protection

State Grant Programs for Restoration

ΤN	Stream and Wetland Restoration Grant (Dept. of Environment & Conservation)
VA	Stream Restoration Assistance Program
NC	NC Land & Water Fund (formerly CWMTF); NC DWR Water Resources Grants
SC	SC DNR Fish Habitat Improvement
GA	Cooperative Agreement for Stream Bank Restoration Program
FL	State Water Quality Assistance Grants

Federal Grants for Restoration

Environmental Protection Agency 319 Grant Program



RIBITS Regulatory In-lieu Fee and Bank Information Tracking System

LOG IN 🏠 💬 🛱 🎡 View Latest Website Updates





RIBITS – Total Approved Mitigation Banks



Southeast Stream Mitigation By Project Length

- Mitigation Categories: Enhancement, Establishment, Re-establishment and Rehabilitation
- Total Miles = 1,293 Miles; 86% Banks & 14% In Lieu Fee (ILF).
- National Total = 1,451 Miles
- Southeast accounts for 89% of all stream mitigation



Stream Mitigation Totals By Project Length







Challenges

- KY property issues (e.g. severed mineral rights for coal and oil/gas), regulatory consistency, and construction contractor performance
- MS/AL moving stream channels without verifying water tables/hydrology, lack of construction oversight, lack of flexibility and in-the-field adjustments, unnatural stream channel substrates leading to erosion

New Restoration Approaches



- South Carolina US Army Corps Regional Guidance 18-01 (RGL 18-01)
- Restoration Credit for Removal of Perched and Undersized Culverts
- Flexibility on factors to determine credit generation

Potential Site: Tributary to Gills Creek; Replace with a Bridge per ILF

North Carolina Stream Restoration Practices & Policies Developed through Strong Partnerships

- Many Disciplines
- Universities
- Agencies
- Private Sector
- Non-Profit Organizations





NC State University Stream Restoration Program

Established: 1998

Mission: Advance the Science & Practice of Stream Restoration through

- Teaching
- Research
- Networking

www.ncsu.edu/srp











NC STATE UNIVERSITY

Objectives:

- 1. Educate professionals (designers, contractors, landowners, and resource managers) about effective restoration
- Educate students who will serve society in government, academia, and business
- 3. Develop and test effective technologies for restoration design, construction, and evaluation





Team of Professionals

 Faculty, staff, and students working to improve water quality and aquatic ecology through research, demonstration projects, and education.















Program Components

- Academic courses (campus and on-line)
- Professional development workshops & tours
- Technical Resources
- Southeast Regional Conference (biennial)
- Networking (e-mail list, web site)
- Demonstration Projects
- Research (Graduate and Undergraduate)





Academic Courses

- BAE 584 Introduction to Fluvial Geomorphology (on-line)
- AES 443 Environmental Restoration Implementation
- BAE 580 Introduction to Land and Water Engineering (on-line)
- BAE 582 Risk and Failure Assessment of Stream Restoration Structures (on-line)
- BAE 579 Stream Restoration (Not currently offered)







Training Courses

- Stream Assessment
- Stream Restoration
- Advanced Restoration Design
- AutoCAD for Stream Restoration
- Restoration Implementation & Evaluation
- Construction Certification for Contractors
- Vegetation Establishment & Monitoring
- Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Taxonomy
- Streambank Repair
- Hydraulic Design for Stream Restoration





River Course Workshops

- 3-day modules on Assessment, Restoration, Advanced Design, & Implementation/Evaluation
- "Hands-on" training for 30-35 professionals per session
- More than 5600 participants since 2000



Construction (Certification)

- Partner with NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program (Mitigation Services)
- 3-day "hands-on" training for 40 contractors & construction supervisors
- Examination leads to certification





Technical Resources

- Fact Sheets
- Design Handbook
- Regional Curves for Hydraulic Geometry



River Course

Stage in North **Carolina Streams**

River Counts is a fact sheet series developed to provide information and technologies related to the use of natural channel design in restoring impaired streams.

Dominant, Effective, and Bankfull Discharge



Restoring streams to a stable form through natural channel design requires detailed information about surface water hydrology and the interactions between rainfall and overland flow or runoff. The channel-forming or dominant dis-

charge is the most common method for sizing channel dimension if the stream restoration requires re-shaping the channel. Channel dimension is the cross sectional shape of the channel, including channel width, depth, and cross sectional area. Dominant discharge is a theoretical discharge that if constantly maintained in an alluvial stream over a long period of time will penduce the same channel geometry that is produced by the long-term hydrograph. Effective discharge

is defined as the discharge that Figure 1. Effective discharge determination from sediment rating and many years. Effective discharge is

flood frequency curve and the sediment discharge point where the active channel stops and the broakpoint between the processes of channel

of the Acts of Congress of May 8 and June 30, 1914 Employment and program of berefty was settinutioned all tworine recordings of formation and floodplain formation. tack, color, realisted origin, North Carolina A&T State of Agriculture, and local natural channel design. Most river engineers and povernments oppownating



Datrikated in furtherance

sex, age, or deability frior#

University, U.S. Department

Carolina State University

Fact Sheet Number 3 **Finding Bankfull**

transports the largest percentage of flow duration curves. The peak of curve C marks the discharge that the sediment load over a period of is most effective in transporting sediment. (Wolman and Miller, 1960) the peak of a curve obtained by multiplying the

rating curve (Figure 1). Bankfull discharge is the discharge that file a stable allovial channel to the elevation of the active floodplain. This discharge is morphologically significant because it identifies the floodplain begins. In other words, it represents the

Since bankfull discharge is the only discharge that can be identified in the field using physical indicators, it is the one most commonly used in



College of Applications & Life Sciences - NC State University School of Agriculture - NC A&T Mate University

hydrologists work under the assumption that dominant, effective, and hankfull discharges are approximately equal. This assumption has not been proven true in the Southeast; however, the differences will probably not significantly affect a

Discharget

Field Indicators of the Bankfull Stage

natural channel design.

The height of water, or stage, during bankfull flow is the point at which flooding occurs on the floodplain. This may or may not be the top of the streastbank. If the stream has downcut due to changes in the watershed or streamshle vegetation, the floodplain stage indicator may be a small bench or scour line on the streambank. The top of the bank, which was formerly the floodplain, is called a terrace in this case. A stream with a terrace near the top of the banks is an incised, or entrenched, stream. If the stream is not entrenched, then

Regional Conference - EcoStream

Purpose:

Exchange ideas and experiences

Promote research and advancement

Conference Includes:

- Learning and Networking Opportunities
- Presentations & Posters
- Workshops
- Corporate Exhibits
- Field Tours







13 Conferences with Attendance of 150-500

- Elkin
- Asheville
- Boone
- Raleigh
- Wilmington
- Winston-Salem
- Charlotte

Asheville

1998

1999

2000

2001

2002

2004

2006

- Raleigh Wilmington
- Charlotte
- Asheville
- Asheville

2008
2010
2012
2014
2016
2018



Attendee Profile



Demonstration Projects

- Various watershed conditions
- Teaching & long-term evaluation

Rocky Branch, NC State University

Urban stream restoration & stormwater management (NC CWMTF, NC DENR 319, NC DOT, FEMA)

Design, Construction, Monitoring: 2001-2012

Rendezvous Mountain State Forest

Rural high-gradient trout stream & wetland restoration (NC DFR, NC CWMTF)

Design, Construction, Monitoring: 2005-2009

Research Projects

- Restoration Design
 - Hydraulic Geometry
 - Channel Morphology
 - Sediment Transport
 - Innovative Design Techniques
- Restoration Effectiveness
 - Biological Indicators
 - Eco-geomorphological Performance
 - Water Quality Impacts
 - Structure Performance
 - Culvert Impacts on Fish Passage

Structure Performance

Rock Cross Vane Function & Performance: Paige Puckett, PhD, 2007

- 3 Factor, 3 Level Study Arm Angle (deg), Arm Slope (ft/ft), Drop (ft/bkfd)
- Response variable
 Flow Contraction = V_{center}/V_{outer}

Findings:

- Drop has the greatest impact on flow contraction.
- As drop decreases, slope effects are more predominant than angle effects.
- At higher drops, angle effects are more predominant than slope effects.

Channel Bedform Characterization

Morphology survey of two streams, Joyce Kilmer Wilderness Area, Western N.C.: Jason Zink, PhD 2012.

From longitudinal profile:

- length: pool, riffle
- slope: pool, riffle, reach
- height: step
- spacing: pool

Longitudinal Profile: Bedform Morphology

Percent of Stream Length Occupied by Steps, Riffles, Pools

Pools: >50% length for all streams with slope 0.07 ft/ft Riffles/Steps: both exist across entire range of slopes Most common sequence: step-pool-riffle

Water Quality Impacts

Purlear Creek restoration evaluation by Justin Spangler, MS 2007

TSS Load

Total Inflow Load: 86 Mg Total Outflow Load: 15 Mg

Stream functions pyramid framework

Evaluating the Stream Quantification Tool (SQT)

Evaluate the SQT for measuring ecological functional uplift for stream restoration efforts: Sara Donatich, MS, 2019 (defense November 26)

Stream sites (n=34) ranked by % impervious cover (largest to smallest)

Functioning Functioning-at-Risk Not Functioning

P-P Spacing Ratio

Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (C and E streams)

Channel Adjustment

NC Division of Mitigation Services Database of all Piedmont Restored Streams for Mitigation

Summary	Value	Site Name XS ID Drainage Area (sq mi): Date: Field Crew:
Total Number of Projects	44	Station Elevation 0.0 97.0 3.9 96.7 7.5 95.9 9.5 95.7 12.9 95.3
Total Number of Reaches	107	13.8 95.1 15.7 93.8 18.8 93.4 20.4 93.4 22.1 93.3 23.7 93.8
Total Riffle Cross Sections	207 (129	24.9 94.2 26.1 94.7 27.8 95.44 29.1 96.11 31.4 97.09 34.2 97.13
	complete)	
Total Pool Cross Sections	155 (102	
	complete)	

Millstone Creek: Test Regenerative Stormwater Conveyance (RSC) in Agricultural Setting

Baseflow Storm Event

Peer-Reviewed Publications

Doll, B., Kurki-Fox, J. J., & Line, D. E. **2020.** A Framework for Planning and Evaluating the Role of Urban Stream Restoration for Improving Transportation Resilience to Extreme Rainfall Events. *Water.*

Donatich, S., Doll, B., Page, J., & Nelson, N. **2020**. Can the Stream Quantification Tool (SQT) Protocol Predict the Biotic Condition of Streams in the Southeast Piedmont (USA)? *Water*.

Doll, B., Kurki-Fox, J. Page, J., Nelson, N., Johnson, J. 2020 Flood Flow Frequency Analysis to Estimate Potential Floodplain Nitrogen Treatment during Overbank Flow Events in Urban Stream Restoration Projects. *Water*.

Doll, B.A., Jennings, G.; Spooner, J.; Penrose, D.; Usset, J.; Blackwell, J.; Fernandez, M. **2016**. Can Rapid Assessments Predict the Biotic Condition of Restored Streams? *Water* 8:143.

Doll, B.A.; Jennings, G.; Spooner, J.; Penrose, D.; Usset, J.; Blackwell, J.; Fernandez, M. **2016**. Identifying Watershed, Landscape, and Engineering Design Factors that Influence the Biotic Condition of Restored Streams. *Water* 8:151.

Doll, B.A.; Jennings, G.D.; Spooner, J.; Penrose, D.L.; Usset, J.L. **2015**. Evaluating the eco-geomorphological condition of restored streams using visual assessment and macroinvertebrate metrics. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*. 51:68–83.

Zink, J. M., and G. D. Jennings. 2014. Channel Roughness in North Carolina Mountain Streams. *Journal of the American Water Resources* Association.

McMillan, S. K., A. K. Tuttle, G. D. Jennings, and A. Gardner. **2014**. Influence of Restoration Age and Riparian Vegetation on Reach-Scale Nutrient Retention in Restored Urban Streams. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*.

Tuttle, A. K., S. K. McMillan, A. Gardner, and G. D. Jennings. **2014**. Channel complexity and nitrate concentrations drive denitrification rates in urban restored and unrestored streams. *Ecological Engineering*.

Peer Reviewed Publications Continued

Tillinghast, E. D., W. F. Hunt, G. D. Jennings, and P. D'Arconte. **2012**. Increasing stream geomorphic stability using stormwater control measures in a densely urbanized watershed. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering* 17(12):1381-1388.

Zink, J. M., G. D. Jennings, and G. A. Price. **2012**. Morphology characteristics of Southern Appalachian wilderness streams. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*. 48(4):762-773.

Tillinghast, E. D., W. F. Hunt, and G. D. Jennings. 2011. Stormwater control measure (SCM) design standards to limit stream erosion for Piedmont North Carolina. *Journal of Hydrology* 411(3-4):185-196.

Tullos, D. D., D. L. Penrose, G. D. Jennings, and W. G. Cope. **2009**. Analysis of functional traits in reconfigured channels: implications for the bioassessment and disturbance of river restoration. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*. 28(1):80-92.

Tullos, D. D., D. L. Penrose, and G. D. Jennings. 2006. Development and application of a bioindicator for benthic habitat enhancement in the North Carolina Piedmont. *Ecological Engineering* 27(2006)228-241.

Web Site: www.ncsu.edu/srp

Extension / Chevril Pedito-doon Program

Stream Restoration Program

Team | Technical Resources | Ecostream Conference | Training | Contact Us | Mailing List |

Stream restoration is the re-establishment of the general structure, function and self-sustaining behavior of the stream system that existed prior to disturbance. It is a holistic process that requires an understanding of all physical and biological components of the stream system and its waterahed. Restoration includes a broad range of measures, including the removal of the waterahed disturbances that are causing stream instability, installation of structures and planting of vegetation to protect streambanks and provide habitat; and the reshaping or replacement of unstable stream reaches into appropriately designed functional streams and associated floodplains.

The techniques and methodologies are evolving rapidly. New design aids are being developed that will improve design efficiency and confidence. We encourage stream restoration professionals to carefully document their experiences – including project successes and failures – so that the restoration community can better understand the appropriate techniques for various conditions.

📲 O 🖽 🤮 🛅 🏦 😭 🕰 🦉 🌆 🖉 🖉 🖉